

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/494,107	Applicant(s) Lee et al	
	Examiner First Last	Group Art Unit 1234	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey Mullis (3) I-hwa Lee
 (2) Kevin Dobson (4) Barry Morris

Date of Interview Oct 2, 2001

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No. If yes, brief description:
Exhibit shown indicating factorws effected by speed of lamination process

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:
all relied upon

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Applicants attorneys argued that there was no reasonable chance of success of obtaining an acceptable product when using rapid film fabrication processes as opposed to other processes of the prior art but it was the position of the examiner that it was not clear if the processes of Lee's examples anticipated those claims embracing blown films. Applicants argued that there were unexpected results when using SLEPs as opposed to conventional polyolefins when specifically rapid film fabrication processes were used.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Jeffrey Mullis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.